

A. Bayes near-optimality proof

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is L -Lipschitz, given $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \neq Y' | x, x') &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Y}} \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(x)(1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(x')) \quad (18) \\ &\leq \sum_j \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(x) (1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(x) + Ld(x, x')) \\ &= \sum_j \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(x) (1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(x)) + Ld(x, x'). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the nearest neighbor classifier g_{NN} in (6) we have $\mathbb{E}_S[P(g_{\text{NN}}(X) \neq Y)] = \mathbb{E}_S[P(Y_{\pi_1(X)} \neq Y)]$, where the expectation is over the sample S determining g_{NN} . By (18) this error is bounded above by

$$\mathbb{E}_{S, X} \left[\sum_j \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(X)(1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(X)) \right] + L\mathbb{E}_{S, X} [d(X, X_{\pi_1(X)})],$$

where now the expectation is over S and X . Denoting $k' = \operatorname{argmax}_j \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(X)$ and splitting the sum, the first term (which does not depend on S) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_X [\boldsymbol{\eta}_{k'}(X)(1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k'}(X))] + \mathbb{E}_X \left[\sum_{j \neq k'} \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(X)(1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(X)) \right] \\ \leq \mathbb{E}_X [1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k'}(X)] + \mathbb{E}_X \left[\sum_{j \neq k'} \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(X) \right] \\ = 2\mathbb{E}_X [1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k'}(X)] = 2P(g^*(X) \neq Y). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to bound $\mathbb{E}_{S, X} [d(X, X_{\pi_1(X)})]$ and we proceed exactly as in [Ben-David & Shalev-Shwartz \(2014\)](#). Let $\{C_1, \dots, C_N\}$ be an ε -cover of \mathcal{X} of cardinality $N = \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}, d)$. Given a sample S , for $x \in C_i$ such that $S \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$ we have $d(x, X_{\pi_1(x)}) < \varepsilon$, while for $x \in C_i$ such that $S \cap C_i = \emptyset$ we have $d(x, X_{\pi_1(x)}) \leq \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{X}) = 1$, thus $\mathbb{E}_{S, X} [d(X, X_{\pi_1(X)})]$ is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mathbb{E}_S \left[\sum_{i=1}^N P(C_i) (\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_{\{S \cap C_i \neq \emptyset\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{S \cap C_i = \emptyset\}}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N P(C_i) (\varepsilon \mathbb{E}_S [\mathbb{1}_{\{S \cap C_i \neq \emptyset\}}] + \mathbb{E}_S [\mathbb{1}_{\{S \cap C_i = \emptyset\}}]). \end{aligned}$$

Since $P(C_i)\mathbb{E}_S [\mathbb{1}_{\{S \cap C_i = \emptyset\}}] = P(C_i)(1 - P(C_i))^n \leq 1/en$ and $N = \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}, d)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{S, X} [d(X, X_{\pi_1(X)})] &\leq \varepsilon + \frac{\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{X}, d)}{en} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{en} \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \right)^D. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\varepsilon = 2n^{-\frac{1}{D+1}}$ concludes the proof. \square

B. Rademacher analysis proofs

Proof of inequality (10). Dudley's chaining integral ([Dudley, 1967](#)) bounds from above the Rademacher complexity $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{H}_L)$ by

$$\inf_{\alpha > 0} \left(4\alpha + 12 \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\log \mathcal{N}(t, \mathcal{H}_L, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})}{n}} dt \right).$$

By Lemma 2 the integral can be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\log \mathcal{N}(t, \mathcal{H}_L, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})}{n}} dt \\ &\leq \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{16L}{t} \right)^D \log \left(\frac{5k}{t} \right)} dt \\ &\leq \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\log 5k}{n} \left(\frac{16L}{t} \right)^D \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)} dt \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\log 5k}{n}} (16L)^{D/2} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)^{(D+1)/2} dt \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\log 5k}{n}} (16L)^{D/2} \left(\frac{2}{D-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{(D-1)/2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we used the fact that for $x \in (0, 1]$ and $c \geq e$ we have $\log(\frac{c}{x}) \leq \frac{\log c}{x}$. Choosing

$$\alpha^* = \left(9(16L)^D \frac{\log 5k}{n} \right)^{1/(D+1)}$$

yields the bound with

$$c_D = 16^{\frac{D}{D+1}} 36^{\frac{1}{D+1}} + \frac{24}{(D-1)3^{\frac{D-1}{D+1}}}.$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 4. An adaptation⁴ of [Mohri et al. \(2012, Theorem 4.5\)](#) to \mathcal{H}_L states that with probability $1 - \delta$, for all $L > 0, h \in \mathcal{H}_L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_{\text{margin}}(h)] &\leq \widehat{\mathbb{E}}[\mathcal{L}_{\text{margin}}(h)] + 4\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{H}_L) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\log \log_2 2L}{n} \right)_+} + \sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{1}_{\{u < 0\}} \leq \mathcal{L}_{\text{margin}}(u)$ we have $P(g_h(X) \neq Y) \leq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_{\text{margin}}(h)]$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\text{margin}}(u) \leq \mathcal{L}_{\text{cutoff}}(u)$ we can replace $\mathcal{L}_{\text{margin}}$ in the empirical loss by the loss function $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cutoff}}$. Bounding $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{H}_L)$ using (10) concludes the proof. \square

⁴essentially setting $\alpha = 1$ in [Mohri et al. \(2012\)](#) and doing the stratification on L instead

C. Scale sensitive analysis proof

Proof of Theorem 5. An application⁵ of [Guermeur \(2010, Theorem 1\)](#) states that with probability $1 - \delta$, for all $L > 0$, $h \in \mathcal{H}_L$,

$$P(g_h(X) \neq Y) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{h(X_i, Y_i) < 1\}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{n} \left(2 \log \mathcal{N}(1/4, \mathcal{H}_L, \|\cdot\|_\infty) + \ln \left(\frac{2L}{\delta} \right) \right)} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Applying the metric entropy bound in [Lemma 2](#) proves the Theorem. \square

D. Approximate NN proofs

First, we will show that \tilde{h} is indeed a 2η additive perturbation of h , i.e.

$$\|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty \leq 2\eta. \quad (19)$$

Instead of working directly with [\(16\)](#) we consider the following L -Lipschitz extension

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{T}_{[-1,1]} \left(\min_{S_1} \{ \xi(Y_i, y) + Ld(X_i, x) \} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{T}_{[-1,1]} \left(\max_{S_1} \{ \xi(Y_i, y) - Ld(X_i, x) \} \right), \end{aligned}$$

easily seen to induce the same classifier g_h as [\(16\)](#). Consider the first term (the second term is treated similarly) and its approximate version:

$$\tilde{h}(x, y) = \mathbb{T}_{[-1,1]} \left(\min_{S_1} \{ \xi(Y_i, y) + L\tilde{d}(X_i, x) \} \right),$$

where $d \leq \tilde{d} \leq (1 + \eta)d$, given in [\(15\)](#), is the approximate ‘‘distance’’ as provided by the approximate nearest neighbor. For notational convenience, denote

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, y) &= \mathbb{T}_{[-1,1]}(\min_i q_i(x, y)) \\ \tilde{h}(x, y) &= \mathbb{T}_{[-1,1]}(\min_i \tilde{q}_i(x, y)) \\ q_i(x, y) &= h_i(y) + r_i(x) \\ \tilde{q}_i(x, y) &= \tilde{h}_i(y) + \tilde{r}_i(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_i(y) = \xi(Y_i, y)$, $r_i(x) = Ld(X_i, x)$, and \tilde{h}_i, \tilde{r}_i defined analogously.

Observe that if $\tilde{r}_i(x) > 2$ then $r_i(x) > 2/(1 + \eta) \geq 2(1 - \eta)$. In this case, since h has range in $[-1, 1]$, the eventual application of truncation operator $\mathbb{T}_{[-1,1]}$ will force $\tilde{h}(x, y) - h(x, y) \leq 2\eta$. Hence, we may assume that

⁵setting $\gamma = 1$ in [Guermeur \(2010, Theorem 1\)](#) and doing the stratification on L instead

$\tilde{r}_i(x) \leq 2$ and so $r_i(x) \leq 2$. It is straightforward to verify that for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\max_{i \in [n]} |a_i - b_i| \leq \eta$, we have

$$\left| \mathbb{T}_{[-1,1]}(\min_i a_i) - \mathbb{T}_{[-1,1]}(\min_i b_i) \right| \leq \eta.$$

Thus, establishing $|q_i(x, y) - \tilde{q}_i(x, y)| \leq 2\eta$ for all $i \in [S_1]$ and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $\tilde{r}_i(x), r_i(x) \leq 2$ suffices to prove the claim. Indeed, by [\(15\)](#) we have

$$|r_i(x) - \tilde{r}_i(x)| \leq |r_i(x) - (1 + \eta)r_i(x)| \leq 2\eta.$$

Proof of Lemma 6. Suppose $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}_{L, \eta}$. By the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{L, \eta}$, there exists an $h \in \mathcal{H}_L$ such that $\|\tilde{h} - h\|_\infty \leq \eta$. Let h' be some element in a minimal ε -cover of \mathcal{H}_L so that $\|h - h'\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|\tilde{h} - h'\|_\infty \leq \|\tilde{h} - h\|_\infty + \|h - h'\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon + \eta.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon + \eta, \mathcal{H}_{L, \eta}, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \leq \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{H}_L, \|\cdot\|_\infty),$$

whence the claim follows. \square

E. Dimensionality reduction proof

Proof of Theorem 7. Put $\tilde{S} = (\tilde{X}, Y)$. For $X_i \in X$ and $\tilde{X}_i \in \tilde{X}$, define $\delta_i(h) = h(X_i, Y_i) - h(\tilde{X}_i, Y_i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n(\mathcal{H}_L; S) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}_L} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i h(X_i, Y_i) \middle| S \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}_L} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \left(h(\tilde{X}_i, Y_i) - \delta_i(h) \right) \middle| S \right] \\ &\leq \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_n(\mathcal{H}_L; \tilde{S}) + \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}_L} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \delta_i(h) \middle| S \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By [\(10\)](#), we have

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{H}_L; \tilde{S}) \leq c_D L \left(\frac{\log 5k}{n} \right)^{1/(\beta+1)}. \quad (20)$$

Since by construction h is L -Lipschitz in its first argument, we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \delta_i(h) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\delta_i(h)| \leq L \sum_{i=1}^n d(X_i, \tilde{X}_i) \leq L\alpha. \quad (21)$$

Our claimed bound follows from [\(20\)](#) and [\(21\)](#). \square